

SHAPING A PROGRESSIVE JUDICIARY IN TANZANIA

“Navigating Challenges and Aspirations”

In the ongoing journey towards a robust and progressive judiciary in Tanzania, the foundational principles outlined in Article 107A of the Constitution of the United Republic of Tanzania serve as guiding beacons. These principles underscore the imperative for the judiciary to be the ultimate authority in dispensing justice, while adhering to essential tenets such as impartiality, timely adjudication, fair compensation, dispute resolution, and a commitment to justice devoid of procedural hindrances.

Central to the realization of these principles is the imperative of judicial independence. All courts must have the freedom to operate within the confines of the constitution and the laws of the land. However, despite these constitutional provisions, the path towards a progressive judiciary faces obstacles, particularly in the form of judicial behaviors that diverge from the intended objectives.

A comprehensive understanding reveals three distinct standards among judges, each bearing its own implications for the path of jurisprudence and the rule of law:

The Bold Spirit Group

This category of judges exemplifies judicial courage and integrity. They adhere strictly to the law, devoid of external influences or considerations. Their decisions contribute significantly to the development of progressive jurisprudence, as they actively engage in shaping legal precedents and principles.

The Timorous Group

Judges within this category succumb to fear or favor, often influenced by personal interests or external pressures. Their decisions may deviate from legal merits, driven by a desire to protect their positions or seek advancement. Consequently, they pose a challenge to the objective interpretation of the law and hinder the evolution of jurisprudence.

The Semi-Bold and Semi-Timorous Group

This group of judges exhibits inconsistency in their approach, hesitating between boldness and timidity in their decisions. Their unpredictability undermines the coherence of judicial outcomes and erodes public trust in the judiciary's impartiality and competence.

To realize the aspirations of Tanzanians for a progressive judiciary, concerted efforts are necessary to address these challenges and cultivate a culture of judicial excellence and integrity. This entails promoting an environment conducive to judicial independence, promoting ongoing professional development, and strengthening mechanisms for accountability and oversight.

Moreover, public awareness and engagement are essential in holding the judiciary accountable and safeguarding the integrity of judicial processes. Through transparent and participatory mechanisms, stakeholders can contribute to the cultivation of a judiciary that upholds the rule of law, advances justice for all, and embodies the values enshrined in the constitution.

In conclusion, the journey towards a progressive judiciary in Tanzania is marked by both aspirations and challenges. By acknowledging the diverse dynamics within the judiciary and actively addressing impediments to its independence and integrity, Tanzania can nurture a judiciary that not only dispenses justice but also serves as a beacon of fairness, equity, and the rule of law.

The author (Respicius E. Mwijage) is a Tax lawyer with experience in Tax Dispute Resolution.

E-mail: remwijage@yahoo.com

Mob: +255 688 526 718